## **Supporting Information:**

## Holey Silicon as efficient thermoelectric material

Jinyao Tang<sup>1, 3\*</sup>, Hung-Ta Wang<sup>1\*</sup>, Dong Hyun Lee<sup>4</sup>, Melissa Fardy<sup>1</sup>, Ziyang Huo<sup>1</sup>, Thomas P. Russell<sup>4</sup>, Peidong Yang<sup>1, 2, 3†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, <sup>2</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.

<sup>3</sup> Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA.

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>†</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: p\_yang@berkeley.edu

#### 1. Holey Silicon fabrication

The HS ribbons used for transport measurements are patterned by optical lithography and then released from SOI substrate (Soitec Inc.) by hydrofluoric acid vapor etching. The SOI wafer consists 100 nm device layer (<100>, 14-22  $\Omega$ ·cm, phosphorus doped) with 200 nm buried oxide layer. Holey structure is fabricated by DRIE through thin chromium mask (~5 nm) converted from NSL or BCP pattern. Figure S1 shows the schematic of detailed fabrication of 350 nm and 140 nm pitch HS ribbons. 350 nm and 140 nm polystyrene spheres (Bangs laboratories, Inc.) are 1:4 diluted with de-ionized water and subjected to 2 min sonication before coating to insure homogeneous sphere suspension. The substrate is cleaned with piranha for 10 mins and rinsed with de-ionized water followed by N<sub>2</sub> blow-dry. Polystyrene spheres are self-assembled into hexagonal monolayer film via a dip coating process at speed ~4  $\mu$ m/s in a customized dip coater equipped with isolating shield to prevent disturbing from air flow. Throughout the assembly process, line defects and point defects are sometimes observed mostly due to the non-uniformity of sphere size. Weak oxygen plasma (20 W at ~300 mtorr in the Technics PEII-A plasma system) is then used to etch polystyrene sphere until the desired spacing is obtained. 5 nm chromium film, served as silicon etching mask, is deposited with an e-beam evaporator at  $2x10^{-6}$  torr, and the polystyrene spheres are then removed by ultra-sonication in acetone for 5 mins. Silicon etch is performed in a DRIE system, with 600 W (13.56 MHz) applied on coil and 30 W (380 kHz) on substrate. After 6 cycles of 5 second passivation plus 6 second etching, 100nm silicon layer is completely etched through. The chromium mask is then removed by soaking the sample in chromium etchant (CR-7s, from Cyantek) for 2 mins at room temperature.

A similar chromium mask conversion method is applied to BCP lithography for fabrication of 55 nm pitch HS ribbons, as shown in Figure S2. 55nm-pitch hexagonal structure is patterned on SOI substrate as stated in SI 2. The 5nm chromium mask is deposited onto this holey structured BCP film by e-beam evaporation at 60 degree titling angle. To insure better etching uniformity, sample is further treated with oxygen plasma to thoroughly remove BCP template in order to ensure the silicon is freshly exposed and can be etched away by following DRIE process. SEM is then used to carefully examine the holey structure and >95% etching uniformity of HS is specifically selected for the transport characterizations.

#### 2. Block copolymer (BCP) assembly and characterization

Polystyrene-*block*-poly(4-vinylpyridine) (S4VP) copolymer was purchased from Polymer Source Inc., and used without further purification in this study. Polystyrene homopolymer was synthesized by anionic polymerization. The number average molecular weights ( $M_n$ ) of polystyrene and poly(4-vinylpyridine) were 51 kg/mol and 18 kg/mol, respectively, and the polydispersity ( $M_w/M_n$ ) of this BCP was 1.15. The number average molecular weight and the polydispersity of polystyrene homopolymer (hPS) were 14.4 kg/mol and 1.03, respectively. The mixture of S4VP and hPS with various mixing ratios was dissolved in the mixture of toluene and tetrahydrofuran (70/30, v/v). S4VP thin films (~ 25 nm) were prepared by spin-coating at 2200 rpm on SOI substrates. The films were solventannealed in tetrahydrofuran vapor for 3 hours to induce lateral ordering of BCP microdomains. To generate nanoscopic pores, the surface of S4VP thin films was reconstructed by immersing the substrate into ethanol.

To prevent merging holes during a following DRIE process, it is necessary to spread out the separation distance among cylindrical microdomains of P4VP. As introducing hPS(14k) into S4VP(69k) thin film, the pitch of cylindrical microdomians of P4VP was gradually increased because hPS existed selectively in PS matrix of S4VP thin film. As shown in Figure S3, the pitch in neat S4VP thin film is about 36.1 nm. By adding hPS(14k), consequently, it is observed that the pitch of S4VP/hPS (60/40, wt/wt) was finally increased to 55 nm. In this study, we selected this 55 nm pitch S4VP thin film (Figure S3F) to fabricate HS.

#### 3. Thermal conductivity measurement and thermal contact resistance calibration

In order to measure HS ribbon's thermal conductivity, the fabricated ribbons on SOI chips are released by vapor HF treatment for about 30 seconds, and individual ribbon is then picked and placed between two SiN<sub>x</sub> thermal pads by micromanipulation using sharp tungsten probe tips (GGB Industries) mounted on a scanning stage (Marzhauser SM 3.25). Then the device is mounted on mask aligner (Karl Suss MA6) and precisely aligned with prefabricated SiN<sub>x</sub> stencil mask <sup>S1</sup>. The stencil mask and thermal device are bonded with I-line photoresist (OCG OiR 897-10i). After hotplate baking at 95°C for 10 mins, the whole device/stencil chip is transferred into e-beam evaporator for 300 nm nickel deposition under  $2x10^{-6}$  torr. The stencil mask is consequently removed from the device simply by mechanical force without any damages to the suspended structure or bonded ribbon. Thermal measurement is conducted with previously reported technique<sup>S2</sup>.

The thermal contact resistance of the nickel bonding is estimated by comparing thermal resistance of 100nm thick non-holey silicon ribbon covalently fused to SiN<sub>x</sub> membrane during the thermal device fabrication process (Figure S4A) with the one of nickel bonding device (Figure S4B). Since the covalent bonding should mostly show thermal boundary resistance<sup>S3</sup> which is on the order of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  K/µW in this system, it is negligible in the measurement as compared to the silicon ribbon's thermal resistance around 5 K/µW. In order to calibrate the thermal contact resistance of Ni bonding, a 30 µm long, 1 µm wide non-HS ribbon is bonded to thermal device with same protocol as described previously. The measured data is then compared with covalently bonding device with same ribbon geometry. As shown in Figure S4C, the contact resistance of nickel bonding is obtained as the total resistance difference of the two devices, and is around 1.5 K/µW at room temperature. This contact resistance is around 10% of total resistance for 350nm pitch HS, ~6% for 140 nm pitch HS, and ~3% for 55nm pitch HS, specifically shown in Figure S4D.

#### 4. Thermal conductivity of HS and comparison with theory

To verify the reproducibility of low thermal conductivity in 55nm pitch HS, multiple samples are prepared among several batches. Representative temperature dependent thermal measurement datas are shown in Figure S5. Room temperature thermal conductivities with ribbon geometry are summarized in Table S1. Consistent low thermal conductivities, ranging from 1.14 to 2.03 W/m·K are routinely recorded. The thermal conductivity variation observed is mainly due to the small porosity difference from sample to sample.

Considering a uniform hexagonal lattice of holes of pitch (center-to-center distance), p and radius, r, simple geometry shows that the porosity  $\varphi$  is,

$$\varphi = \frac{\pi\sqrt{3}r^2}{6p^2}$$

For 35% porosity HS, specifically for 55nm, 140nm, and 350nm HS, this "bottleneck", n is 23nm, 59nm, and 152nm, respectively. As discussed in the main text, the necking effect should be responsible for the low thermal conductivity of HS. Two parameters affect the necking effect: pitch and neck. Lower thermal conductivity is expected when the pitch size gets smaller than the frequency dependent phonon MFP; and when the necking becomes smaller,  $\kappa$  can be further suppressed. The first parameter, pitch size, is discussed in main text and shown in Figure 2d. To verify the neck effect, we prepared 350nm HS with different porosity by varying polystyrene size using different oxygen plasma etching time (from 30s to 3mins with 50W); 13%, 27%, 35%, and 40% HS are prepared with the same approach described in section 1. Due to the imperfection of holes packing, the actually necking width is smaller than the ideal values calculated from the perfect hexagonal array of holes, which are around 160nm, 110nm, 70nm and 40nm respectively. In Figure S6, the room temperature thermal conductivities show a clear decrease by shrinking the neck width (increasing porosity), and thus confirmed that the necking effect does play the important role in reducing the HS thermal conductivity.

A quantitative comparison between the literature data (including model <sup>S4, S5</sup> and experiment <sup>S6</sup>) to the present experiments has been summarized in Figure S6. All our thermal conductivity data are normalized by its porosity. We specifically compared to Hao *et al*'s model because the dimension is the closest to our system. The simulation is 2D which would represent perfect bulk lattice in z direction, while in our system the phonon scattering on top and bottom surface should be considered. As in non-holey silicon, this top/bottom surface

scattering lowers the thermal conductivity from 150 W/m·K in bulk to ~50 W/m·K in 100nm thin film. We estimated 66% reduction in thermal conductivity to correct the thickness discrepancy. The corrected value (i.e. bulk of 25% porosity corrected by the dimension effect) is around 19.3 W/m·K which is quite close to our measurement value 14.5 W/m·K for 27% porosity 350nm pitch HS. Moreover, the porosity different from 27% to 35% will cause another 33% thermal conductivity reduction according to our measurement. In total, we roughly obtain a correction factor ~0.22. We further apply this correction factor to evaluate the 55nm pitch which result 4-5 W/m·K, and this value is still higher than our measurement data. However, the discrepancy is not significant especially there are additional parameters could play a role, such as hole sidewall roughness created in DRIE.

#### 5. Electrical measurement

The electrical conductivity is measured by the four point electrical device as shown in Figure S7. The ribbons are usually 1-2  $\mu$ m in width and 20-40  $\mu$ m in length. Most uncertainty of  $\rho$  measurement is coming from the ribbon width measurement which can vary up to 4.7% within the measured length. Since the uncertainty from electrical measurement noise is less than 0.1%, we define that the uncertainty of  $\rho$  measurement is 4.7%.

For the thermopower measurement, the inner two Pt electrodes are connected to Keithley 2182A nanovoltmeter for Seebeck voltage measurement while DC current is applied to heating coil by Keithley 236 source measurement unit to generate temperature gradient. The local temperature is measured by four probe resistance of the inner Pt electrode. As described in previous study, the error of this measurement is estimated as less than 3% due to possible systematic errors of this method <sup>S7</sup>.

#### **Supplementary information references**

S1. Vazquez-Mena, O.; Villanueva, G.; Savu, V.; Sidler, K.; van den Boogaart, M. A. F.; Brugger, J. *Nano Lett* **2008**, 8, (11), 3675-82.

S2. Shi, L.; Li, D.; Yu, C.; Jang, W.; Kim, D.; Yao, Z.; Kim, P.; Majumdar, A. J. Heat Transfer 2003, 125, (5), 881-888.

- S3. Swartz, E. T.; Pohl, R. O. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 1989, 61, (3), 605.
- S4. Lee, J.-H.; Galli, G. A.; Grossman, J. C. Nano Lett. 2008, 8, (11), 3750-3754.
- S5. Hao, Q.; Chen, G.; Jeng, M.-S. J. Appl. Phys. 2009, 106, (11), 114321/1-114321/10.
- S6. Song, D.; Chen, G. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2004, 84, (5), 687-689.
- S7. Hochbaum, A. I.; Chen, R.; Delgado, R. D.; Liang, W.; Garnett, E. C.; Najarian, M.; Majumdar, A.; Yang, P. *Nature (London, U. K.)* **2008**, 451, (7175), 163-167.

# Supplementary information figures Figure S1



### Figure S1. Illustration of HS fabrication based on nanosphere lithography.

(A) Polystyrene spheres are assembled in monolayer on SOI substrate. (B) Nanospheres are etched with mild oxygen plasma to obtain the desired separation. (C) 5 nm chromium film is deposited followed by sphere lift-off in acetone to form holey structured chromium mask. (D) DRIE etched HS through mask and Cr mask is finally removed.





**Figure S2. Illustration of HS fabrication based on Block copolymer (BCP) assembly. (A)** Holey structured block copolymer film coated on SOI substrate. **(B)** 5 nm thick holey structured Cr mask is obtained by e-beam evaporation at 60° tilt angle. **(C)** BCP film is etched by oxygen plasma, and Cr mask is remained for silicon DRIE etching. **(D)** After Cr is removed, HS is tailored into ribbons using standard photolithography.

## Figure S3



Figure S3. AFM images (3 μm x 3 μm, height mode) of reconstructed surface of S4VP(69k)/hPS(14k) with various mixing ratios: (A) neat, (B) 95/5, (C) 90/10, (D) 80/20, (E) 70/30, and (F) 60/40 (wt/wt), respectively.







**Figure S4. Thermal contact resistance estimation.** (A) SEM image of SiN<sub>x</sub> pad/silicon ribbon junction of covalent bonding device. (B) SEM image of nickel bonded device. (C) Contact resistance (blue squares), defined by the total thermal resistance difference of two measured non-holey silicon ribbons, gradually increases toward lower temperature and peaks as  $5K/\mu W$  at 50K. (D) Contact Resistance to total resistance ratio (black squares) of 55 nm pitched HS ribbon. Contact Resistance is around 3% at room temperature and decreases with lowering temperature, so it is neglected through the entire temperature range of our measurement. The scale bar is 1  $\mu m$  for both (A) and (B).

Figure S5



**Figure S5 Temperature dependent of thermal conductivity of multiple 55nm-pitch HS ribbons.** The detailed ribbon dimension and the room temperature thermal conductivity are summarized in Table S1.

Table	<b>S1</b>
-------	-----------

Sample number	Width (µm)	Length (µm)	к @ 300К (W/m·K)
HS-55-1	0.86	31.0	1.47
HS-55-2	0.79	20.9	1.53
HS-55-3	1.26	12.8	1.30
HS-55-4	1.44	4.78	1.88
HS-55-5	2.25	14.2	1.69
HS-55-6	1.74	21.0	1.14
HS-55-7	2.3	14.0	1.35
HS-55-8	2.3	19.8	2.24
HS-55-9	2.35	30.5	2.03

Table S1. Summery of room temperature thermal conductivity data shown in Figure S5. All 55nm pitch HS ribbons have low thermal conductivity, ranging from 1.14 to 2.03 Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>, while no width dependence is observed. Note all data have been normalized by its porosity ( $\phi$ ~35%)

**Figure S6** 



Figure S6 HS thermal conductivities at room temperature, compared with Hao *et al*'s model (ref S5), Lee *et al*'s model (ref S4), Hao *et al*'s model corrected with our estimated correction factor, and Song and Chen' experiment (ref S6). Thermoelectric transport direction of each data is perpendicular to the axial of the hole/pore in all cases. Array structure, porosity, and sample dimension are all marked for reference. All the present HS data are normalized by its porosity. Note: SA denotes for square array, HA denotes for hexagonal array.



#### Figure S7. HS ribbon thermopower and electrical conductivity measurement

(A) SEM image of a typical device used for electrical measurement. The left heating coil is used to create uniform temperature gradient. Two inner Pt electrodes are used for seebeck voltage measurement and temperature sensing, while all four electrdes are used for four probe  $\rho$  measurement. (B) SEM image of high magnification of HS ribbon for electrical measurement (C) Typical plot of seebeck volatage vs temperature difference. (D) I-V plot by four probe electrical resistance measurement. (C) and (D) plots are for the 55 nm pitch ribbon shown in Figure 3a.