

Solution-Phase Synthesis of Cesium Lead Halide Perovskite Nanowires

Dandan Zhang,^{†,§,⊥} Samuel W. Eaton,^{†,⊥} Yi Yu,[†] Letian Dou,^{†,§} and Peidong Yang^{*,†,‡,§,||}

[†]Department of Chemistry and [‡]Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

[§]Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

^{||}Kavli Energy NanoSciences Institute, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Halide perovskites have attracted much attention over the past 5 years as a promising class of materials for optoelectronic applications. However, compared to hybrid organic–inorganic perovskites, the study of their pure inorganic counterparts, like cesium lead halides (CsPbX₃), lags far behind. Here, a catalyst-free, solution-phase synthesis of CsPbX₃ nanowires (NWs) is reported. These NWs are single-crystalline, with uniform growth direction, and crystallize in the orthorhombic phase. Both CsPbBr₃ and CsPbI₃ are photoluminescence active, with composition-dependent temperature and self-trapping behavior. These NWs with a well-defined morphology could serve as an ideal platform for the investigation of fundamental properties and the development of future applications in nanoscale optoelectronic devices based on all-inorganic perovskites.

Halide perovskites have been demonstrated to be a promising class of materials for optoelectronic applications,¹ including high-efficiency photovoltaic cells,^{1a} light-emitting diodes,^{1b} lasers,^{1c} and photodetectors.^{1d} The advantages of these compounds include their excellent charge-transport properties^{1e} and broad chemical tunability.^{1f} While recent studies have been mostly focused on hybrid organic–inorganic compounds, the study of their inorganic analogues, like AMX₃ (A = Rb, Cs; M = Ge, Sn, Pb; X = Cl, Br, I), is limited.²

Previous studies on the all-inorganic halide perovskites have revealed that these materials have great potential in optoelectronic applications. CsGeX₃ are known for their nonlinear optical properties and are potentially useful for nonlinear optics in the mid-infrared and infrared regions.^{2c,d} CsSnI_{3-x}F_x has been demonstrated to be an effective hole-transport material and is able to replace the problematic organic liquid electrolytes in dye-sensitized solar cells.^{2e} Theoretical calculations on AsnX₃ (A = Cs, CH₃NH₃, NH₂CH=NH₂; X = Cl, I) suggested that their electronic properties strongly depend on the structure of the inorganic SnX₆ octahedral cage,^{2f} which implies good prospects for the all-inorganic halide perovskites.

However, most of these studies were based on polycrystalline perovskite films deposited on substrates using vapor-phase co-evaporation^{2e} or solution deposition^{2b} of a mixture of AX and BX₂. The uncontrolled precipitation or evaporation of the

perovskite produces large morphological variations, making it a non-ideal platform for understanding these materials' fundamental properties.

Controlled synthesis of materials with high quality and well-defined morphology not only benefits fundamental research but also offers great promise for practical applications.³ Examples include the development of semiconducting quantum dots (QDs),^{3a} one-dimensional (1D) nanowires (NWs),^{3b} and two-dimensional (2D) nanosheets,^{3c} which can have optical and electrical properties superior to those of their bulk counterparts. In terms of inorganic halide perovskites, with the exception of single-crystalline QDs,^{2a} there have been no reports of 1D or 2D nanostructures. Semiconductor NWs, in particular, currently attract widespread interest due to the great potential to advance fundamental and applied research toward new classes of inherently 1D photonic and electronic nanostructures.

Here, a catalyst-free, solution-phase synthesis of CsPbX₃ NWs is reported. Detailed structural characterization reveals that these NWs are single-crystalline, with uniform growth direction, and crystallize in an orthorhombic phase. Optical measurements show that both CsPbBr₃ and CsPbI₃ are photoluminescence (PL) active, with CsPbBr₃ showing strong PL, CsPbI₃ exhibiting a self-trapping effect, and both displaying temperature-dependent PL. These single-crystalline NWs could serve as an ideal platform for further investigation of structure–function relationships critical to the development of future applications in nanoscale optoelectronics.

Synthesis of CsPbX₃ NWs. The preparation of CsPbX₃ NWs was performed under air-free conditions using standard Schlenk techniques, by reacting cesium oleate with lead halide in the presence of oleic acid and oleylamine in octadecene (ODE) at 150–250 °C. To analyze the NWs' formation mechanism, the reaction was quenched to room temperature at different points in time, and the respective intermediates were separated by centrifugation and examined using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

For CsPbBr₃ NWs synthesis, the reaction dynamics have been studied at 150 °C. At the initial stage (*t* < 10 min), the reaction is dominated by the formation of nanocubes (NCs)

Received: May 25, 2015

Published: July 16, 2015






Figure 1. Shape evolution of the as-prepared CsPbBr₃ nanostructures synthesized with different reaction times. Scale bar, 100 nm.




Figure 2. Structural characterizations of CsPbBr₃ nanowires. (a) Representative HR-TEM image of CsPbBr₃ NWs. Scale bar, 10 nm. (b) Experimental XRD spectrum (top two) of CsPbBr₃ nanocubes and NWs, and standard XRD patterns (bottom two) for orthorhombic and cubic phases of CsPbBr₃. Extra peaks (labeled *) are caused by the XRD aluminum stage.

with size ranging from 3 to 7 nm (Figure 1a). After 10 min, a few thin NWs with diameters around 9 nm are found in the product (Figure 1b). With increasing time, more NWs form while the amount of NCs decreases; in addition, there are some square-shaped nanosheets (NSs) in the product (Figure 1c and Supporting Information (SI), Figure S7a). At a later stage (40–60 min) in the reaction, the NSs dissolved, and NWs with diameters uniformly below 12 nm (Figure 1d,e) and lengths up to 5 μ m (SI, Figure S1a–c) account for a greater proportion of the product, along with the formation of crystals with sizes larger than 200 nm (SI, Figure S1c). With longer time, the NWs gradually disappear, and the product consists mainly of

the large crystals (Figure 1f). Notably, these morphologies do not represent discrete intermediates formed at specific reaction times, but evolve sequentially from each other. Consequently, different intermediates can coexist in the product at a given time during the reaction (SI, Scheme S1). The growth of CsPbI₃ NWs requires elevated temperatures ($T > 180$ °C) and demonstrates much faster kinetics. As such, the reaction is less controllable and the size distribution of the NWs is wider, ranging from tens to hundreds of nanometers (SI, Figure S2). The CsPbCl₃ NWs have also been synthesized at 150 °C, but the proportion of the NWs in the product at different reaction stages is always relatively low (SI, Figure S3).




Figure 3. Optical characterizations of CsPbI₃ and CsPbBr₃ nanowires. Typical optical absorption and PL spectra for (a) CsPbBr₃ NWs and (b) CsPbI₃ NWs. Inset: optical images of CsPbBr₃ and CsPbI₃ NWs under the laser beam.

Catalyst-free, solution-phase syntheses are commonly used to prepare nanostructures with low aspect ratio, such as rods and dots.⁴ The formation of high aspect ratio NWs in solution is usually achieved by oriented attachment of nanocrystals⁵ or by anisotropic growth driven by high monomer concentrations with the assistance of surfactant capping.^{4b,6} We believe that the formation of the CsPbX₃ NWs here is not likely due to a dipole-driven one-dimensional oriented attachment of NCs,⁵ since no dimers or “oligomers” of NCs are observed in the products, and during the aging of a colloidal solution of the nanocrystals, there is no nanorod formation due to the dipole-driven attachment (SI, Figure S4). In order to get a better understanding of the NWs’ growth mechanism, more experiments have been conducted to investigate the influence of temperature, time, surfactants, and precursor concentration on the morphology of the product (SI, Table S1). A control experiment done by changing the reaction solvent from ODE to oleylamine shows much slow kinetics but with higher yield of NWs, which suggests the NW formation most likely proceeds through a surfactant-directed 1D growth mode (SI).

Structural Characterization of CsPbX₃ NWs. CsPbX₃ bulk crystals exhibit a cubic perovskite structure in the highest temperature phase.⁷ Upon lowering the temperature, CsPbI₃ undergoes one phase transition,^{7a} cubic–orthorhombic (328 °C), with a color change from dark to yellow.^{7c} CsPbBr₃ has two phase transitions,^{7b} cubic–tetragonal (130 °C)–orthorhombic (88 °C), with hardly any color change (orange).^{7c} CsPbCl₃ shows three successive phase transitions,^{7d} cubic–tetragonal (47 °C)–orthorhombic (42 °C)–monoclinic (37 °C), with hardly any color change (pale yellow).^{7c}

Both the yellow color of the crystal (SI, Figure S5b) and the XRD pattern (SI, Figure S5b) confirm that the CsPbI₃ NWs are in the orthorhombic phase. The HR-TEM images (SI, Figure S5a) show that the CsPbI₃ NWs are single-crystalline, with uniform $\langle 100 \rangle$ growth direction. The phase of CsPbBr₃ NWs needs more careful determination because of the small difference between the XRD standard patterns of the orthorhombic and cubic phases. As shown in Figure 2b, the key difference in distinguishing the orthorhombic phase from the cubic phase is the double peaks at $\sim 30^\circ$. The peak broadening caused by the small size of the CsPbBr₃ NCs makes

it difficult to determine their exact phase, while the clear double peak at $\sim 30^\circ$ confirms that the CsPbBr₃ NWs are grown in the orthorhombic phase. The HR-TEM images (Figure 2a and SI, Figure S6) show that the CsPbBr₃ NWs are single crystalline with uniform $\langle 110 \rangle$ growth direction. The XRD spectrum of CsPbBr₃ nanosheets also suffers severe peak broadening (SI, Figure S7c), making it difficult to tell its exact phase. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images show the thickness of the nanosheets ranges from 0.5 to 2 nm (SI, Figure S8). HR-TEM images of the sheets show lattice patterns with antiphase boundaries (SI, Figure S7b), which is commonly observed in oxide perovskites.⁸ The exact phase of CsPbCl₃ cannot be determined by our X-ray diffractometer because the resolution of the instrument cannot differentiate the closely spaced peaks.

Optical Properties of CsPbX₃ NWs. The optical properties of the CsPbX₃ (X = Br, I) NWs were studied by measuring the UV–vis absorption and PL spectra of each material dispersed on a substrate (Figure 3). The absorption onsets for the CsPbBr₃ and CsPbI₃ NWs were found to be 521 nm (2.38 eV) and 457 nm (2.71 eV), respectively.

The narrow PL spectrum of CsPbBr₃ (Figure 3a, dotted line) corresponds to excitonic emission with a small degree of quantum confinement (60 meV blue-shift, SI, Figure S10) due to the narrow wire diameter. A greater degree of confinement is observed for the nanosheets (69 meV) due to an average sheet thickness of only a few unit cells (SI, Figure S9). Temperature-dependent PL of the CsPbBr₃ NWs reveals a small blue-shift (0.035 meV/K) with increasing temperature (SI, Figure S11). While the opposite trend is typically observed, this behavior has been reported for a range of materials, including Pb-doped CsBr crystals⁹ as well as closely related cesium metal halide¹⁰ and organometal halide perovskites.¹¹ The effect is attributed to the balance between lattice expansion/contraction and electron–phonon coupling; electron–phonon coupling typically dominates band gap behavior and results in a red-shift with increasing temperature. Yu et al. reported, however, that the lattice term was dominant in CsSnI₃;¹⁰ we hypothesize that CsPbBr₃ behaves similarly here.

Our CsPbI₃ PL spectrum (Figure 3b, dotted line) consists of two distinct peaks centered at 446 nm (2.78 eV) and 523 nm (2.37 eV), with widths of 115 and 530 meV (fwhm),

respectively. The narrow, high-energy peak likely stems from excitonic emission similar to that of CsPbBr₃, but the broad, low-energy peak observed for CsPbI₃ has been attributed previously to the formation of self-trapped excitons (STEs).¹² Exciton self-trapping has been observed for a variety of ionic compounds, including a number of recently studied organo-metal halide perovskite materials.^{11a,13} The temperature-dependent PL of CsPbI₃ NWs is also significantly more complex than that of CsPbBr₃ (SI, Figure S11). At low temperatures, only STE emission is observed. Upon heating past 100 K, the excitonic emission peak appears and grows monotonically with temperature. Unlike CsPbBr₃, the excitonic peak red-shifts with increasing temperature, suggesting that a strong electron–phonon coupling contribution dictates band gap behavior. This is consistent with the self-trapping of excitons; increased electron–phonon coupling results in greater lattice distortion in the proximity of the exciton, thereby increasing the probability of trapping.^{13a} Additional discussion of PL may be found in the SI, Table S2.

In summary, a catalyst-free, solution-phase synthetic approach has been developed to obtain single-crystalline, orthorhombic CsPbX₃ NWs with uniform growth direction. Optical studies determined that both CsPbBr₃ and CsPbI₃ are PL active, and exhibit unique compositional and temperature-dependent behavior. Future studies with these NWs will concentrate on the investigation of their electronic and thermoelectronic properties as well as the development of their optoelectronic applications. Additionally, while this work focuses on the CsPbX₃ class of compounds, the synthetic method reported here can potentially be applied to other inorganic perovskites, such as tin-based perovskites, which will be less toxic.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

■ Supporting Information

Experimental details and additional TEM, XRD, UV–vis, and PL data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b05404.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*p_yang@berkeley.edu

Author Contributions

[†]D.Z. and S.W.E. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (PChem). S.W.E. thanks the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation for funding, award no. EP-14-151.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Liu, M.; Johnston, M. B.; Snaith, H. J. *Nature* **2013**, *501*, 395. (b) Tan, Z.-K.; Moghaddam, R. S.; Lai, M. L.; Docampo, P.; Higler, R.; Deschler, F.; Price, M.; Sadhanala, A.; Pazos, L. M.; Credgington, D.; Hanusch, F.; Bein, T.; Snaith, H. J.; Friend, R. H. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, *9*, 687. (c) Zhang, Q.; Ha, S. T.; Liu, X.; Sum, T. C.; Xiong, Q. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 5995. (d) Dou, L.; Yang, Y. M.; You, J.; Hong, Z.;

Chang, W. H.; Li, G.; Yang, Y. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5404. (e) Dong, Q.; Fang, Y.; Shao, Y.; Mulligan, P.; Qiu, J.; Cao, L.; Huang, J. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 967. (f) Noh, J. H.; Im, S. H.; Heo, J. H.; Mandal, T. N.; Seok, S. I. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 1764.

(2) (a) Protesescu, L.; Yakunin, S.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Krieg, F.; Caputo, R.; Hendon, C. H.; Yang, R. X.; Walsh, A.; Kovalenko, M. V. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 3692. (b) Chung, I.; Song, J.-H.; Im, J.; Androulakis, J.; Malliakas, C. D.; Li, H.; Freeman, A. J.; Kenney, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 8579. (c) Tang, L. C.; Huang, J. Y.; Chang, C. S.; Lee, M. H.; Liu, L. Q. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2005**, *17*, 7275. (d) Tang, L.-C.; Chang, Y.-C.; Huang, J.-Y.; Lee, M.-H.; Chang, C.-S. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *48*, 112402. (e) Chung, I.; Lee, B.; He, J.; Chang, R. P. H.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Nature* **2012**, *485*, 486. (f) Borriello, I.; Cantele, G.; Ninno, D. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2008**, *77*, 235214.

(3) (a) Alivisatos, A. P. *Science* **1996**, *271*, 933. (b) Huang, M. H.; Mao, S.; Feick, H.; Yan, H.; Wu, Y.; Kind, H.; Weber, E.; Russo, R.; Yang, P. *Science* **2001**, *292*, 1897. (c) Wang, Q. H.; Kalantar-Zadeh, K.; Kis, A.; Coleman, J. N.; Strano, M. S. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *7*, 699. (d) Peng, X.; Manna, L.; Yang, W.; Wickham, J.; Scher, E.; Kadavanich, A.; Alivisatos, A. P. *Nature* **2000**, *404*, 59. (b) Peng, Z. A.; Peng, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 3343.

(5) Cho, K. S.; Talapin, D. V.; Gaschler, W.; Murray, C. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7140.

(6) Xi, L.; Tan, W. X. W.; Boothroyd, C.; Lam, Y. M. *Chem. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 5444.

(7) (a) Trots, D. M.; Myagkota, S. V. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2008**, *69*, 2520. (b) Sakata, M.; Nishiwaki, T.; Harada, J. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *47*, 232. (c) Moeller, C. K. *Nature* **1958**, *182*, 1436. (d) Fujii, Y.; Hoshino, S.; Yamada, Y.; Shirane, G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1974**, *9*, 4549.

(8) Van Tendeloo, G.; Lebedev, O. I.; Amelinckx, S. J. *Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2000**, *211*, 73.

(9) Aceves, R.; Babin, V.; Flores, M. B.; Fabeni, P.; Maarroos, A.; Nikl, M.; Nitsch, K.; Pazzi, G. P.; Salas, R. P.; Sildos, I.; Zazubovich, N.; Zazubovich, S. *J. Lumin.* **2001**, *93*, 27.

(10) Yu, C.; Chen, Z.; Wang, J.; Pfenninger, W.; Vockic, N.; Kenney, J. T.; Shum, K. J. *Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *110*, 063526.

(11) (a) Dohner, E. R.; Jaffe, A.; Bradshaw, L. R.; Karunadasa, H. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13154. (b) Wu, K.; Bera, A.; Ma, C.; Du, Y.; Yang, Y.; Li, L.; Wu, T. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 22476.

(12) (a) Nikl, M.; Nitsch, K.; Somma, F.; Fabeni, P.; Pazzi, G. P.; Feng, X. Q. *J. Lumin.* **2000**, *87–89*, 372. (b) Babin, V.; Fabeni, P.; Nikl, M.; Nitsch, K.; Pazzi, G. P.; Zazubovich, S. *Phys. Status Solidi B* **2001**, *226*, 419.

(13) (a) Williams, R. T.; Song, K. S. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1990**, *51*, 679. (b) Wu, X.; Trinh, M. T.; Niesner, D.; Zhu, H.; Norman, Z.; Owen, J. S.; Yaffe, O.; Kudisch, B. J.; Zhu, X. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 2089.